UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,766	09/17/2003	Kamiya Takuroh	242591US2	3841
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			CHARLES, MARCUS	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3682	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	07/25/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/663,766	TAKUROH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Marcus Charles	3682			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the same period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
	nril 2004				
<u> </u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 April 2004</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-30 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-20 and 22-30 is/a 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-8,10 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	re`withdrawn from consideration				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So tion is required if the drawing(s) is old	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) ⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date See Continuation Sheet.	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [6] Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date			

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :2/12/2007, 2/12/2007 and 10-31-2006.

Application/Control Number: 10/663,766

Art Unit: 3682

DETAILED ACTION

This action is responsive to the amendment filed 04-23-2007, which has been entered. Claims 1-8 and 10-30 are currently pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-10 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kayahara et al. (6,269,228). Regarding claim 1 and 21, in figs. 21-22 and 24/36 respectively, Kayahara et al. discloses a belt drive comprising a plurality of rollers (12, 123, 122, 125)/(22-25, as in fig. 36) including a driving roller (227)/(24, as in fig. 36)); a belt (221) (20a, fig. 36) configured to be tensioned by the rollers and to be driven by the driven roller (227)/(24, in fig. 36)); the driving roller is arranged adjacent to an outside body (761)/(100, fig. 36) that contacts the outer surface of the belt; note the item 33, is apparently an absorbing member that absorbed the movement of the transfer roller when the drive roller contacts the outside body.

In claim 2, note the driving roller (227) is arranged opposite the outside body (761) across the belt.

In claim 3, note in fig. 21-22), the outside body is a cleaning blade (col. 36, lines 5-16).

In claim 5, note Kayahara et al. discloses the claimed invention.

In claim 6, note the recording medium (100).

In claim 7, note in fig. 36) the outside body is a recording medium (100).

In claim 8, note the rollers (42, fig. 24).

In claim 9, note the item 33, is apparently an absorbing member that absorbed the movement of the transfer roller when the drive roller contacts the outside body.

In claim 10, it is apparent that the resonant frequency of the absorbing member is different from periodic frequency of vibration caused by the contacts of the outer surface of the belt.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 4-23-2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant contended it is not clear as to how the element 33 absorbs shock because it is a transfer contact separation mechanism. It should be noted that item 31 is a transfer bias roller that needs to be bias by a mechanism (33) to and from the belt direction to contact or be separated from the belt with the roller. It is apparent that the biasing mechanism (33) is a biasing mechanism, which is configured to absorb vibration or shock between the roller and the drive roller. In fact and biasing element that gradually biases an element is configured to absorb shock or vibration to an extent. Therefore, the transfer contact/mechanism is configured to adsorbed shock. Therefore, for reasons given above, the rejection is deemed proper.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 10/663,766 Page 4

Art Unit: 3682

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Marcus Charles whose telephone number is (571) 272-

7101. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30 am to 6:00

pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ridley Richard can be reached on (571) 272-6917. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3682

July 07, 2007